3623 [FW

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CFR 1.8)			Docket No.					
Applicant(s): Luther Jackson, et al.			GE-07043					
Application No.	Filing Date	11 2006 Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit				
09/824,430	04/02/2001	Susanna M. Meinecke Diaz	28581	3623				
Invention: INSTALLATION SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM COLLABORATIVE TOOL								
	•			*				
•								
I hereby certify that t	this <u>Interview Summary;</u>	certificate of mailing; postcard						
		(Identify type of correspondence)						
is being deposited w	rith the United States Posta	Service with sufficient postage as	first class mail in	an envelope				
addressed to "Comm	nissioner for Patents, P.O. I 08/07/06	Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1	450" [37 CFR 1.8	8(a)] on				
	(Date)							
•		Aisha B.	Coleman					
		(Typed or Printed Name of Pers	on Mailing Correspond	ence)				
•		allaha B.	(Valodo	\mathcal{D}				
		(Signature of Person Ma	iling Correspondence)	4				
•								
		•						
		·		•				
Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.								
								
	•			•				
			•					
			•					
				·				
		•						
		•						



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF : Luther Jackson

FOR : INSTALLATION SUPPORT INFORMATION

SYSTEM COLLABORATIVE TOOL

 SERIAL NUMBER
 : 09/824,430

 FILED
 : April 2, 2001

EXAMINER : Susanna M. Meinecke Diaz

ART UNIT : 3623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir:

- 1. This is in response to the Interview Summary (Confirmation No. 8163) dated July 20, 2006, on which a one -month shortened statutory period for response is set to expire August 20, 2006.
- 2. A telephonic interview was held on July 14, 2006 between Examiner Susanna M. Diaz and William Meise, Req. No. 27,574.

Examiner Diaz' summary correctly states
"Overcoming the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 was
briefly discussed. Applicant's representative
presented Applicant's intended explanation of a
"change document," citing language from page 8 of the
specification, while Examiner explained that she
interpreted the "change document" as being a revised
manual. The Examiner suggested that the claims be
amended to more expressly recite the intended scope of
a "change document."

No agreement was reached as to claim language, no exhibits were shown, the only prior art discussed was that within

the specification itself. The undersigned does not recollect discussing any particular claim. There was no discussion of specific claim language other than that of a negative limitation "not including an equipment manual," which Examiner indicated would not be viewed with favor.

3. No fee is believed to be required for submission of this Summary. Please charge any other fees to deposit account 50-2061.

FOR THE APPLICANT(S)

by Phlillan Meire

William H. Meise Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 27,574

Duane Morris LLP P.O. Box 5203 Princeton, NJ 08543-5203 609-631-2453



United States Patent and Trademark Office \mathcal{N}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/824,430	04/02/2001	OIP Either Jackson	GE-07043	8163
28581 75	90 07/20/2006	50	EXAM	INER
DUANE MORRIS LLP AUG 1 1 2		AUG 1 1 2006	MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
PO BOX 5203 PRINCETON.	NJ 08543-5203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		TADBANT OF	3623	
		THE BITT	DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETING NOT REQUIRED

OIA	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/824,430	JACKSON ET AL.					
Interview Summary AUG 11 2006	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	•					
(1) <u>Susanna M. Diaz</u> . (3)							
(2) <u>William Meise (Reg. No. 27,574)</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>14 July 2006</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant's backgroun	d in the specification.	•					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Overcoming the rejection representative presented Applicant's intended interpretation the specification, while the Examiner explained that she in manual. The Examiner suggested that the claims be ame "change document."</u>	n under 35 U.S.C. 101 was bri on of a "change document," citi terpreted the "change docume nded to more expressly recite	efly discussed. Applicant's ng language from page 8 of nt" as being a revised the intended scope of a					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS 'DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patient Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.